

**Evidence Analysis Library**

**Adult Weight Management Systematic Review and Guideline (2022)**

**Relationship between Systematic Review PICO questions and AWM Recommendations**

Relationships between Recommendation Statements and Evidence from the Supporting Systematic Review for the 2022 Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Evidence-Based Practice Guideline of Overweight and Obesity Management Interventions Provided by an RDN<sup>a</sup> or International Equivalent<sup>b</sup>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
<b>MNT<sup>d</sup> Approach for Adults with Overweight or Obesity</b>			
It is reasonable for RDNs or international equivalents to utilize the NCP <sup>e</sup> to provide effective, client-centered interventions based on shared decision-making and clinical judgement and individualized to each client’s needs, circumstances, and goals.	Consensus	RDN Standards and Scope of Practice, including for adult weight management. <sup>1,2</sup>  Nutrition Care Process Model for People-Centered Care and Outcomes Management. <sup>3</sup>	Expert Opinion <sup>f</sup>
MNT provided by RDNs or international equivalents is recommended for adults with overweight or obesity to improve cardiometabolic outcomes, QoL <sup>g</sup> , and weight outcomes, as appropriate for and desired by each client.	1B	In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian reduced BMI <sup>h</sup> across a broad range of RCTs. <sup>4-43</sup>  In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian resulted in significantly greater percent weight loss compared to controls across a broad range of RCTs. <sup>4,7,8,10,13,14,19,21,22,28-31,33,39,41,43-54</sup>  In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian resulted in significantly greater percent weight loss and a likelihood of achieving 5%	MODERATE  HIGH  MODERATE

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		<p>weight loss compared to controls across a broad range of RCTs.<sup>9,12,16,20,21,24,25,30,33,36,38,43,45,47,50,55-58</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian reduced WC<sup>i</sup> across a broad range of RCTs.<sup>4-8,10,12,13,15,19,20,22,24,25,27,28,31,33-36,38-43,45,49,55,56,58-62</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity, interventions provided by a dietitian reduced BP<sup>k</sup> across a broad range of RCTs.<sup>4-8,10,12,13,20,22,23,28,30,32-34,36-38,43,45,49,53,56,57,59,61</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity, evidence suggests that weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may increase quality of QoL.<sup>16,32,36,57,62-65</sup></p>	<p>HIGH</p> <p>MODERATE for SBP<sup>l</sup>, LOW for DBP<sup>m</sup></p> <p>MODERATE for mental QoL, LOW for physical QoL</p>
<p>It is reasonable for RDNs or international equivalents to monitor and evaluate client outcomes and adapt goals and interventions, including those for weight maintenance, and provide resources as needed for each client.</p>	<p>Consensus</p>	<p>RDN Standards and Scope of Practice, including for adult weight management.<sup>1,2</sup></p> <p>Nutrition Care Process Model for People-Centered Care and Outcomes Management.<sup>3</sup></p> <p>Intervention efficacy was no longer significant for BMI, percent weight loss and BP at 3 months after the intervention.<sup>66</sup></p>	<p>Expert Opinion<sup>f</sup></p> <p>LOW<sup>o</sup></p> <p>Ungraded<sup>p</sup></p>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		Without extended care interventions during the follow-up period after weight loss interventions, weight lost during interventions is typically regained. <sup>68</sup>	
It is reasonable for RDNs or international equivalents to minimize the effects of weight bias and weight stigma and its consequences by targeting client-centered goals, individualizing interventions according to complex contributors of overweight and obesity, communicating using client-preferred terms, and providing an inclusive physical environment.	Consensus	<p>Experiencing weight stigma is associated with diabetes risk, depression, anxiety, self-esteem and C-reactive protein levels, among other outcomes.<sup>69,70</sup></p> <p>Health care professionals, including dietitians, report both implicit and explicit weight-biased attitudes toward people with obesity.<sup>71,72</sup></p> <p>Clients' engagement with health services can be negatively impacted when clients perceive weight bias from health care professionals.<sup>73</sup></p> <p>Communicating About Weight in Dietetics Practice: Recommendations for Reduction of Weight Bias and Stigma<sup>74</sup></p>	<p>Ungraded<sup>p</sup></p> <p>VERY LOW</p> <p>Ungraded<sup>p</sup></p> <p>Expert Opinion<sup>f</sup></p>
<b>Coordination of Care</b>			
RDNs or international equivalents should collaborate with an interprofessional healthcare team to provide comprehensive, multi-component care for adults with overweight or obesity, as appropriate for and desired by each client.	1C	Sub-group analysis of supporting systematic review: Effect sizes were similar for anthropometric outcomes whether interventions were delivered by a dietitian alone or by an interprofessional team. For SBP and FBG <sup>n</sup> , effect sizes were greater with interventions from a dietitian alone, but heterogeneity was high. There was considerable overlap in confidence intervals for effect sizes between intervention types. <sup>66,67</sup>	LOW <sup>o</sup>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
<p>RDNs or international equivalents providing MNT interventions for adults with overweight and obesity should coordinate care in a variety of settings, including primary care/outpatient, community and workplace settings, to access and support each client with resources in the environment that best suits individualized needs.</p>	1B	<p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in a primary care/outpatient setting may reduce BMI<sup>4,7,8,10,12,15,16,18,20,21,23,27,29,31-34</sup> and increase percent weight loss.<sup>4,7,8,10,21,29,31,33,47,48</sup></p>	LOW
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in a primary care/outpatient setting likely increase likelihood of achieving 5% weight loss.<sup>4,20,21,29,31,33,47</sup></p>	MODERATE
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in a primary care/outpatient setting reduce WC.<sup>4,7,8,10,12,15,20,27,31,33,34,58,59,62</sup></p>	HIGH
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in the community setting are likely to reduce BMI<sup>6,24</sup> and slightly increase percent weight loss.<sup>24,45,56,57</sup></p>	MODERATE
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in the community setting may reduce WC.<sup>6,24,45,56,61</sup></p>	LOW
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in a workplace setting may reduce BMI<sup>37,43</sup> and likely results in increased percent weight loss.<sup>43</sup></p>	LOW

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		In adults with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian in a workplace setting likely result in increased the likelihood of achieving 5% weight loss <sup>38,43,55</sup> and reduced WC. <sup>38,43,55</sup>	MODERATE
<b>MNT Amount</b>			
RDNs or international equivalents may provide at least five interactive sessions, when feasible and desired by each adult client with overweight or obesity, to achieve the greatest potential improvement in outcomes. Frequency of contacts should be tailored to each client's preferences and needs.	2C	Sub-group analysis of supporting systematic review: Interventions with ≤4 contacts did not result in significantly improved SBP or FBG. All outcomes examined were significantly improved, compared to controls, in interventions with at ≥5 contacts. However, for all outcomes, the magnitude of difference between intervention types may not be clinically or statistically significant. For all outcomes, there was overlap in confidence intervals between interventions with ≤4 contacts and ≥5 contacts. There were no clear dose-response patterns between frequency of contact with the dietitian and outcomes, except for FBG, for which greater frequency aligned with a greater effect size. <sup>66,67</sup>	LOW <sup>o</sup>
RDNs or international equivalents should provide overweight and obesity management interventions for a duration of at least one year to improve and optimize cardiometabolic and weight outcomes, as appropriate for and desired by each client.	1C	Sub-group analysis of supporting systematic review: Studies that were at least 12 months in duration resulted in a significant improvement for all outcomes examined, while studies less than 12 months did not result in significant improvement in SBP and FBG compared to controls. However, heterogeneity was high and confidence intervals overlapped, preventing definitive conclusions. Studies that were less than one year still impacted anthropometric outcomes. <sup>66,67</sup>	LOW <sup>o</sup>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
Following completion of overweight and obesity management interventions, RDNs or international equivalents should provide follow-up contacts at least every three months, for as long as desired by each client, to facilitate maintenance of weight loss and improved cardiometabolic outcomes.	1C	<p>Intervention efficacy was no longer significant for BMI, percent weight loss and BP at 3 months after the intervention.<sup>66</sup></p> <p>Without extended care interventions during the follow-up period after weight loss interventions, weight lost during interventions is typically regained.<sup>68</sup></p>	<p>LOW<sup>o</sup></p> <p>Ungraded<sup>p</sup></p>
<b>Delivery of MNT</b>			
RDNs or international equivalents may use telehealth, in-person contacts, or a blend of these delivery methods when providing MNT interventions to adults with overweight or obesity. Outcomes may be optimized by including in-person contacts.	2C	Sub-group analysis of supporting systematic review: For anthropometric outcomes and FBG, influence of in-person interventions and hybrid in-person/remote interventions were similar. For the outcome of SBP, in-person interventions resulted in significant improvement, while the effect of remote or hybrid interventions was not significantly different than the control group. Confidence intervals overlapped for all categories except for when comparing in-person to remote for the outcomes of BMI and SBP. Even interventions delivered exclusively remotely resulted in significantly reduced BMI, percent weight loss and WC compared to controls, and heterogeneity of results was high. <sup>66,67</sup>	LOW <sup>o</sup>
RDNs or international equivalents may use both individual and group delivery methods when providing MNT interventions to adults with overweight or obesity, as feasible and appropriate for each client.	2C	Sub-group analysis of supporting systematic review: Only interventions that included both individual and group contacts resulted in significant improvement in anthropometric outcomes, SBP and FBG. However, confidence intervals overlapped for different intervention types for all outcomes. It is important to note that even interventions delivered exclusively individually or in groups resulted in significantly	LOW <sup>o</sup>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		reduced BMI, percent weight loss and WC compared to controls, and heterogeneity of results was high. <sup>66,67</sup>	
<b>Payment for Services</b>			
It is reasonable and necessary for RDNs or international equivalents to be aware of and utilize existing channels of payment for services for adults with overweight or obesity to improve client access to care.	Consensus	<p>MNT provided by an RDN resulted in improved cardiometabolic outcomes and decreased body weight outcomes (see evidence for 1.2 above).<sup>66,67</sup></p> <p>Weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may be a cost-effective for adults with overweight or obesity.<sup>63,75</sup></p>	<p>LOW-HIGH depending on outcome (see 1.2 above)</p> <p>LOW</p>
<b>Dietary and Lifestyle Intervention Approaches</b>			
RDNs or international equivalents should advise adult clients with overweight or obesity that many different dietary patterns can be individualized to support client-centered goals. Prescribed dietary approaches should achieve and maintain nutrient adequacy and be realistic for client adherence. Prescribed calorie levels should be tailored based on estimated or measured needs and should be adjusted to improve weight outcomes, as appropriate for and desired by each client.	1C	Intervention details, including prescribed dietary intake, were extracted for each study included in the supporting systematic review. <sup>66,67</sup> Dietary advice was described in 74% of included studies. Dietary intake was often individualized. Many different prescribed dietary patterns and macronutrient distributions, in tandem with calorie restriction, resulted in desired outcomes. Few studies reduced calories below 1200-1500 calories/day to maintain nutrient adequacy. Weight management interventions provided by dietitians resulted in meeting intervention goals, but there was heterogeneity between studies.	LOW
RDNs or international equivalents should advise the following components as part of a comprehensive adult overweight and obesity management intervention to improve	1C	Intervention details were extracted for each study included in the supporting systematic review, including prescribed dietary intake and if the intervention included behavior modifying	LOW <sup>o</sup>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
<p>cardiometabolic outcomes, QoL, and weight outcomes, as appropriate for and desired by each client:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutritionally adequate diet with adjusted calories to improve weight outcomes or a nutritionally adequate, energy-balanced diet for weight maintenance;</li> <li>• Behavioral strategies, including self-monitoring (diet, physical activity, weight);</li> <li>• Appropriate physical activity to meet client goals (within the RDN's scope of practice or referral to an exercise practitioner).</li> </ul>		<p>therapy and physical activity.<sup>66,67</sup> Many different prescribed dietary patterns and macronutrient distributions resulted in desired outcomes and calorie restriction was advised in most studies, because target outcomes were typically weight loss. Most interventions encouraged physical activity or made it a prescribed component. Most successful interventions included behavior modifying strategies such as menu planning, self-monitoring of weight, diet or physical activity, and trouble-shooting barriers to adherence.</p> <p>Determinants of successful weight maintenance include physical activity, self-monitoring of diet, weight, and physical activity, eating behaviors such as portion control, increasing fruit and vegetable intake and reducing sugar-sweetened beverages.<sup>76</sup></p>	MODERATE-HIGH
<b>Special Populations</b>			
<p>RDNs or international equivalents should collaborate with clients and healthcare teams to manage co-morbidities such as T2DM, CVD, dyslipidemia and other potential complications associated with overweight or obesity by tailoring MNT to each client's specific health care needs, including medications, while supporting weight loss.</p>	1B	<p>In adults with overweight or obesity and T2DM, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may result in a significant reduction in BMI.<sup>8,18,29</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity and T2DM, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may increase weight loss percentage.<sup>8,25,49</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity and T2DM, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian are likely to reduce WC and FBG.<sup>8,29,49</sup></p>	<p>LOW</p> <p>VERY LOW</p> <p>MODERATE</p> <p>LOW</p>



Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity and CVD, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian are likely to reduce BMI.<sup>10,12</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity and CVD, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian increased percent weight loss, increased the likelihood of achieving 5% weight loss and reduced WC.<sup>10,12,59</sup></p> <p>In adults with dyslipidemia, medical nutrition therapy improved lipid profile.<sup>77-79</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity and dyslipidemia, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian are likely to reduce BMI.<sup>12,23</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity and dyslipidemia, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian increased the likelihood of achieving 5% weight loss and reduce WC.<sup>12,58</sup></p> <p>In adults with obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may reduce BMI.<sup>5,6,9,10,12,17,19,21,23,25,28-30,32,40,80</sup></p> <p>In adults with obesity, weight management interventions from a dietitian likely reduced percent weight loss.<sup>10,21,28-30,44-46,48,51,53,54</sup></p> <p>In adults with obesity, weight management interventions from a dietitian resulted in higher likelihood of achieving 5% weight loss and reduced WC.<sup>5,6,10,12,19,21,25,28,30,40,45,58,62</sup></p>	<p>MODERATE</p> <p>LOW</p> <p>MODERATE</p> <p>LOW</p> <p>LOW</p> <p>MODERATE</p> <p>HIGH</p> <p>LOW</p>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		<p>In adults with obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may increase physical QoL.<sup>32,54,62,65</sup></p> <p>In adults with obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian likely increased mental QoL.<sup>32,54,62,65</sup></p>	MODERATE
<p>Adults with obesity who receive pharmacotherapy or metabolic and bariatric surgery should collaborate with RDNs or international equivalents, as part of an interprofessional healthcare team, to improve and maintain a healthy diet that meets nutritional needs and advances weight loss efforts to improve cardiometabolic outcomes.</p>	1B	<p>From Bariatric Surgery systematic review: “MNT from a registered dietitian nutritionist (RDN) for two to six visits during the first year post-surgery had a significant excess weight loss ranging from 60% to 80% and significant reduction in body mass index (BMI) ranging from 5% to 31% at 12 months”.<sup>81</sup></p>	MODERATE
<p>For adults who are members of groups disproportionately affected by overweight or obesity, or under-resourced communities (e.g., adults with low socioeconomic status, adults from racial or ethnic minority groups, older adults, adults with disabilities), RDNs or international equivalents should provide culturally appropriate interventions that are tailored to each client’s values, beliefs and barriers regarding excess weight, and food and physical activity behaviors.</p>	1C	<p>One non-randomized, controlled trial was included in the supporting systematic review that examined a culturally enhanced EatRight dietary intervention in a predominantly African American workplace; the evidence was uncertain in adults with overweight or obesity for the effect of weight management interventions provided by a RDN on BMI, WC, FBG and BP.<sup>82</sup></p> <p>In adults with overweight or obesity and low SES, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may result in little to no difference in BMI or WC, and the effect on FBG, percent weight loss, QoL and BP is unclear.<sup>35,61,83,84</sup></p>	<p>VERY LOW</p> <p>LOW</p> <p>VERY LOW</p>

Recommendation	Rating	Evidence from Supporting Systematic Review or Other Sources	Certainty of Evidence <sup>c</sup>
		<p>In adults with overweight or obesity and physical disabilities, one RCT described no effect of a weight management intervention provided by a dietitian on adverse events.<sup>85</sup></p> <p>In postmenopausal women ≥50 years of age with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian likely improved BMI, percent weight loss and WC.<sup>19,41,45,46,54</sup></p> <p>In adults ≥50 years of age with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by dietitian likely reduced FBG and systolic BP slightly, but had no effect on diastolic BP.<sup>45</sup></p> <p>In adults ≥50 years of age with overweight or obesity, weight management interventions provided by a dietitian may increase physical and mental QoL.<sup>54,64,65</sup></p>	<p>MODERATE</p> <p>LOW</p> <p>LOW</p>

<sup>a</sup>RDN, registered dietitian/nutritionist

<sup>b</sup>In this guideline and in the supporting systematic review, “registered dietitian/nutritionists and international equivalents” are referred to as “dietitians” except for in the recommendation statements themselves, in which “RDNs or international equivalents” is used. In this guideline, these terms are equivalent.

<sup>c</sup>Evidence grading was derived from summary of findings tables unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>d</sup>MNT, medical nutrition therapy

<sup>e</sup>NCP, nutrition care process

<sup>f</sup>Expert opinion was based on clinical expertise and standards of practice for the registered dietitian nutritionists

<sup>g</sup>QoL, quality of life

<sup>h</sup>BMI, body mass index

<sup>i</sup>RCT, randomized controlled trial

<sup>j</sup>WC, waist circumference

<sup>k</sup>BP, blood pressure

<sup>l</sup>SBP, systolic blood pressure

<sup>m</sup>DBP, diastolic blood pressure

<sup>n</sup>FBG, fasting blood glucose

<sup>o</sup>Recommendations based on sub-group analyses of the supporting systematic review were graded according to definitions described by GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations.<sup>86</sup>

<sup>p</sup>Ungraded evidence is based on external systematic review(s) for which evidence was not graded.

<sup>r</sup>T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus

<sup>s</sup>CVD, cardiovascular disease

<sup>t</sup>SES, socioeconomic status

## References

1. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Revised 2017 Scope of Practice for the Registered Dietitian Nutritionist. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2018;118(1):141-165.
2. Tewksbury C, Nwankwo R, Peterson J. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Revised 2022 Standards of Practice and Standards of Professional Performance for Registered Dietitian Nutritionists (Competent, Proficient, and Expert) in Adult Weight Management. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2022.
3. Swan WI, Vivanti A, Hakel-Smith NA, et al. Nutrition Care Process and Model Update: Toward Realizing People-Centered Care and Outcomes Management. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2017;117(12):2003-2014.
4. Al-Hamdan R, Avery A, Salter A, Al-Disi D, Al-Daghri NM, McCullough F. Identification of Education Models to Improve Health Outcomes in Arab Women with Pre-Diabetes. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(5).
5. Almanza-Aguilera E, Brunius C, Bernal-Lopez MR, et al. Impact in Plasma Metabolome as Effect of Lifestyle Intervention for Weight-Loss Reveals Metabolic Benefits in Metabolically Healthy Obese Women. *J Proteome Res*. 2018;17(8):2600-2610.
6. Annesi JJ, Whitaker AC. Weight loss and psychologic gain in obese women-participants in a supported exercise intervention. *Perm J*. 2008;12(3):36-45.
7. Assunção MC, Gigante DP, Cardoso MA, Sartorelli DS, Santos IS. Randomized, controlled trial promotes physical activity and reduces consumption of sweets and sodium among overweight and obese adults. *Nutr Res*. 2010;30(8):541-549.
8. Barratt R, Frost G, Millward DJ, Truby H. A randomised controlled trial investigating the effect of an intensive lifestyle intervention v. standard care in adults with type 2 diabetes immediately after initiating insulin therapy. *Br J Nutr*. 2008;99(5):1025-1031.
9. Beleigoli A, Andrade AQ, Diniz MF, Ribeiro AL. Personalized Web-Based Weight Loss Behavior Change Program With and Without Dietitian Online Coaching for Adults With Overweight and Obesity: Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(11):e17494.
10. Bennett GG, Herring SJ, Puleo E, Stein EK, Emmons KM, Gillman MW. Web-based weight loss in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2010;18(2):308-313.
11. Bertz F, Brekke HK, Ellegård L, Rasmussen KM, Wennergren M, Winkvist A. Diet and exercise weight-loss trial in lactating overweight and obese women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012;96(4):698-705.
12. Bennett GG, Steinberg D, Askew S, et al. Effectiveness of an App and Provider Counseling for Obesity Treatment in Primary Care. *Am J Prev Med*. 2018;55(6):777-786.
13. Chambliss HO, Huber RC, Finley CE, McDoniel SO, Kitzman-Ulrich H, Wilkinson WJ. Computerized self-monitoring and technology-assisted feedback for weight loss with and without an enhanced behavioral component. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2011;85(3):375-382.
14. Colleran HL, Lovelady CA. Use of MyPyramid Menu Planner for Moms in a weight-loss intervention during lactation. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2012;112(4):553-558.
15. de Freitas PP, de Menezes MC, Dos Santos LC, Pimenta AM, Ferreira AVM, Lopes ACS. The transtheoretical model is an effective weight management intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):652.
16. de Vos BC, Runhaar J, Bierma-Zeinstra SM. Effectiveness of a tailor-made weight loss intervention in primary care. *Eur J Nutr*. 2014;53(1):95-104.
17. Abd El-Kader SM, Saiem Al-Dahr MH. Weight loss improves biomarkers endothelial function and systemic inflammation in obese postmenopausal Saudi women. *Afr Health Sci*. 2016;16(2):533-541.

18. Abd El-Kader SM, Al-Jiffri OH, Neamatallah ZA, AlKhateeb AM, AlFawaz SS. Weight reduction ameliorates inflammatory cytokines, adipocytokines and endothelial dysfunction biomarkers among Saudi patients with type 2 diabetes. *Afr Health Sci.* 2020;20(3):1329-1336.
19. Foster-Schubert KE, Alfano CM, Duggan CR, et al. Effect of diet and exercise, alone or combined, on weight and body composition in overweight-to-obese postmenopausal women. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2012;20(8):1628-1638.
20. Gallagher R, Kirkness A, Zelestis E, et al. A randomised trial of a weight loss intervention for overweight and obese people diagnosed with coronary heart disease and/or type 2 diabetes. *Ann Behav Med.* 2012;44(1):119-128.
21. Gandler N, Simmance N, Keenan J, Choong PF, Dowsey MM. A pilot study investigating dietetic weight loss interventions and 12 month functional outcomes of patients undergoing total joint replacement. *Obes Res Clin Pract.* 2016;10(2):220-223.
22. Georgoulis M, Yiannakouris N, Kechribari I, et al. Cardiometabolic Benefits of a Weight-Loss Mediterranean Diet/Lifestyle Intervention in Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea: The "MIMOSA" Randomized Clinical Trial. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(6).
23. Hardcastle SJ, Taylor AH, Bailey MP, Harley RA, Hagger MS. Effectiveness of a motivational interviewing intervention on weight loss, physical activity and cardiovascular disease risk factors: a randomised controlled trial with a 12-month post-intervention follow-up. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2013;10:40.
24. Hassapidou M, Tziomalos K, Lazaridou S, et al. The Nutrition Health Alliance (NutriHeAl) Study: A Randomized, Controlled, Nutritional Intervention Based on Mediterranean Diet in Greek Municipalities. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2020;39(4):338-344.
25. Haste A, Adamson AJ, McColl E, Araujo-Soares V, Bell R. Web-Based Weight Loss Intervention for Men With Type 2 Diabetes: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Diabetes.* 2017;2(2):e14.
26. Hurkmans E, Matthys C, Bogaerts A, Scheys L, Devloo K, Seghers J. Face-to-Face Versus Mobile Versus Blended Weight Loss Program: Randomized Clinical Trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 2018;6(1):e14.
27. Huseinovic E, Bertz F, Brekke HK, Winkvist A. Two-year follow-up of a postpartum weight loss intervention: Results from a randomized controlled trial. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2018;14(2):e12539.
28. Innes AQ, Thomson G, Cotter M, King JA, Vollaard NBJ, Kelly BM. Evaluating differences in the clinical impact of a free online weight loss programme, a resource-intensive commercial weight loss programme and an active control condition: a parallel randomised controlled trial. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):1732.
29. Jiang X, Fan X, Wu R, Geng F, Hu C. The effect of care intervention for obese patients with type II diabetes. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96(42):e7524.
30. Johnson KE, Alencar MK, Coakley KE, et al. Telemedicine-Based Health Coaching Is Effective for Inducing Weight Loss and Improving Metabolic Markers. *Telemed J E Health.* 2019;25(2):85-92.
31. Karintrakul S, Angkatavanich J. A randomized controlled trial of an individualized nutrition counseling program matched with a transtheoretical model for overweight and obese females in Thailand. *Nutr Res Pract.* 2017;11(4):319-326.
32. Liljensøe A, Laursen JO, Bliddal H, Søballe K, Mechlenburg I. Weight Loss Intervention Before Total Knee Replacement: A 12-Month Randomized Controlled Trial. *Scand J Surg.* 2021;110(1):3-12.
33. Ma J, Yank V, Xiao L, et al. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program lifestyle intervention for weight loss into primary care: a randomized trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(2):113-121.
34. Nilsen V, Bakke PS, Gallefoss F. Effects of lifestyle intervention in persons at risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus - results from a randomised, controlled trial. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11:893.

35. Pablos A, Drehmer E, Ceca D, et al. Effects of a lifestyle intervention program for treating obesity in lower socioeconomic status adults: a randomized controlled trial. In. Italy: EDIZIONE MINERVA MEDICA; 2017:467.
36. Rollo ME, Baldwin JN, Hutchesson M, et al. The Feasibility and Preliminary Efficacy of an eHealth Lifestyle Program in Women with Recent Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Pilot Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(19).
37. Salinardi TC, Batra P, Roberts SB, et al. Lifestyle intervention reduces body weight and improves cardiometabolic risk factors in worksites. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2013;97(4):667-676.
38. Shrivastava U, Fatma M, Mohan S, Singh P, Misra A. Randomized Control Trial for Reduction of Body Weight, Body Fat Patterning, and Cardiometabolic Risk Factors in Overweight Worksite Employees in Delhi, India. *J Diabetes Res*. 2017;2017:7254174.
39. Tanaka NI, Murakami H, Aiba N, Morita A, Watanabe S, Miyachi M. Effects of 1-year weight loss intervention on abdominal skeletal muscle mass in Japanese overweight men and women. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2019;28(1):72-78.
40. Uemura M, Hayashi F, Ishioka K, et al. Obesity and mental health improvement following nutritional education focusing on gut microbiota composition in Japanese women: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Nutr*. 2019;58(8):3291-3302.
41. van Gemert WA, Schuit AJ, van der Palen J, et al. Effect of weight loss, with or without exercise, on body composition and sex hormones in postmenopausal women: the SHAPE-2 trial. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2015;17(1):120.
42. Vissers D, Verrijken A, Mertens I, et al. Effect of long-term whole body vibration training on visceral adipose tissue: a preliminary report. *Obes Facts*. 2010;3(2):93-100.
43. Weinhold KR, Miller CK, Marrero DG, Nagaraja HN, Focht BC, Gascon GM. A Randomized Controlled Trial Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program to a University Worksite, Ohio, 2012-2014. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2015;12:E210.
44. Alencar MK, Johnson K, Mullur R, Gray V, Gutierrez E, Korosteleva O. The efficacy of a telemedicine-based weight loss program with video conference health coaching support. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2019;25(3):151-157.
45. Cai R, Chao J, Li D, Zhang M, Kong L, Wang Y. Effect of community-based lifestyle interventions on weight loss and cardiometabolic risk factors in obese elderly in China: A randomized controlled trial. *Exp Gerontol*. 2019;128:110749.
46. Colleluori G, Aguirre L, Phadnis U, et al. Aerobic Plus Resistance Exercise in Obese Older Adults Improves Muscle Protein Synthesis and Preserves Myocellular Quality Despite Weight Loss. *Cell Metab*. 2019;30(2):261-273.e266.
47. Huseinovic E, Bertz F, Leu Agelii M, Hellebö Johansson E, Winkvist A, Brekke HK. Effectiveness of a weight loss intervention in postpartum women: results from a randomized controlled trial in primary health care. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2016;104(2):362-370.
48. Kesman RL, Ebbert JO, Harris KI, Schroeder DR. Portion control for the treatment of obesity in the primary care setting. *BMC Res Notes*. 2011;4:346.
49. O'Neil PM, Miller-Kovach K, Tuerk PW, et al. Randomized controlled trial of a nationally available weight control program tailored for adults with type 2 diabetes. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2016;24(11):2269-2277.
50. Svetkey LP, Batch BC, Lin PH, et al. Cell phone intervention for you (CITY): A randomized, controlled trial of behavioral weight loss intervention for young adults using mobile technology. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2015;23(11):2133-2141.
51. Teeriniemi AM, Salonurmi T, Jokelainen T, et al. A randomized clinical trial of the effectiveness of a Web-based health behaviour change support system and group lifestyle counselling on

- body weight loss in overweight and obese subjects: 2-year outcomes. *J Intern Med*. 2018;284(5):534-545.
52. Thomas JG, Bond DS, Raynor HA, Papandonatos GD, Wing RR. Comparison of Smartphone-Based Behavioral Obesity Treatment With Gold Standard Group Treatment and Control: A Randomized Trial. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2019;27(4):572-580.
  53. Trepanowski JF, Kroeger CM, Barnosky A, et al. Effect of Alternate-Day Fasting on Weight Loss, Weight Maintenance, and Cardioprotection Among Metabolically Healthy Obese Adults: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177(7):930-938.
  54. Villareal DT, Chode S, Parimi N, et al. Weight loss, exercise, or both and physical function in obese older adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(13):1218-1229.
  55. Carnie A, Lin J, Aicher B, et al. Randomized trial of nutrition education added to internet-based information and exercise at the work place for weight loss in a racially diverse population of overweight women. *Nutr Diabetes*. 2013;3(12):e98.
  56. Hageman PA, Pullen CH, Hertzog M, Pozehl B, Eisenhauer C, Boeckner LS. Web-Based Interventions Alone or Supplemented with Peer-Led Support or Professional Email Counseling for Weight Loss and Weight Maintenance in Women from Rural Communities: Results of a Clinical Trial. *J Obes*. 2017;2017:1602627.
  57. Tapsell LC, Lonergan M, Batterham MJ, et al. Effect of interdisciplinary care on weight loss: a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(7):e014533.
  58. Ventura Marra M, Lilly CL, Nelson KR, Woofter DR, Malone J. A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial of a Telenutrition Weight Loss Intervention in Middle-Aged and Older Men with Multiple Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(2).
  59. Bove KB, Nilsson M, Pedersen LR, et al. Comprehensive treatment of microvascular angina in overweight women - a randomized controlled pilot trial. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(11):e0240722.
  60. Duncan MJ, Fenton S, Brown WJ, et al. Efficacy of a Multi-component m-Health Weight-loss Intervention in Overweight and Obese Adults: A Randomised Controlled Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(17).
  61. Gilmore LA, Klempel MC, Martin CK, et al. Personalized Mobile Health Intervention for Health and Weight Loss in Postpartum Women Receiving Women, Infants, and Children Benefit: A Randomized Controlled Pilot Study. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2017;26(7):719-727.
  62. Hollis G, Franz R, Bauer J, Bell J. Implementation of a very low calorie diet program into the pre-operative model of care for obese general elective surgery patients: Outcomes of a feasibility randomised control trial. *Nutr Diet*. 2020;77(5):490-498.
  63. Hagberg L, Winkvist A, Brekke HK, Bertz F, Hellebö Johansson E, Huseinovic E. Cost-effectiveness and quality of life of a diet intervention postpartum: 2-year results from a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):38.
  64. van Gemert WA, van der Palen J, Monninkhof EM, et al. Quality of Life after Diet or Exercise-Induced Weight Loss in Overweight to Obese Postmenopausal Women: The SHAPE-2 Randomised Controlled Trial. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6):e0127520.
  65. Villareal DT, Aguirre L, Gurney AB, et al. Aerobic or Resistance Exercise, or Both, in Dieting Obese Older Adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(20):1943-1955.
  66. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics' Evidence Analysis Center. Adult Weight Management Systematic Review. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. <https://andeal.org/awm>. Published 2021. Updated July 2021. Accessed July 27, 2021.
  67. Morgan-Bathke M DBS, Halliday TM, Lynch A, Malik N, Raynor HA, Garay JL, Rozga M, . Weight Management Interventions Provided by a Dietitian for Adults with Overweight or Obesity: An Evidence Analysis Center Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Acad Nutr Diet In Press*. 2022.



68. Nordmo M, Danielsen YS, Nordmo M. The challenge of keeping it off, a descriptive systematic review of high-quality, follow-up studies of obesity treatments. *Obes Rev.* 2020;21(1):e12949.
69. Wu YK, Berry DC. Impact of weight stigma on physiological and psychological health outcomes for overweight and obese adults: A systematic review. *J Adv Nurs.* 2018;74(5):1030-1042.
70. Alimoradi Z, Golboni F, Griffiths MD, Broström A, Lin CY, Pakpour AH. Weight-related stigma and psychological distress: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr.* 2020;39(7):2001-2013.
71. Lawrence BJ, Kerr D, Pollard CM, et al. Weight bias among health care professionals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2021;29(11):1802-1812.
72. Panza GA, Armstrong LE, Taylor BA, Puhl RM, Livingston J, Pescatello LS. Weight bias among exercise and nutrition professionals: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2018;19(11):1492-1503.
73. Alberga AS, Edache IY, Forhan M, Russell-Mayhew S. Weight bias and health care utilization: a scoping review. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* 2019;20:e116.
74. Howes EM, Harden SM, Cox HK, Hedrick VE. Communicating About Weight in Dietetics Practice: Recommendations for Reduction of Weight Bias and Stigma. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2021;121(9):1669-1674.
75. Padwal RS, Klarenbach S, Sharma AM, et al. The evaluating self-management and educational support in severely obese patients awaiting multidisciplinary bariatric care (EVOLUTION) trial: principal results. *BMC Med.* 2017;15(1):46.
76. Varkevisser RDM, van Stralen MM, Kroeze W, Ket JCF, Steenhuis IHM. Determinants of weight loss maintenance: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2019;20(2):171-211.
77. Ross LJ, Barnes KA, Ball LE, et al. Effectiveness of dietetic consultation for lowering blood lipid levels in the management of cardiovascular disease risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Nutr Diet.* 2019;76(2):199-210.
78. Mohr AE, Hatem C, Sikand G, et al. Effectiveness of medical nutrition therapy in the management of adult dyslipidemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2022.
79. Sikand G, Cole RE, Handu D, et al. Clinical and cost benefits of medical nutrition therapy by registered dietitian nutritionists for management of dyslipidemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2018;12(5):1113-1122.
80. Abd El-Kader SM, Al-Jiffri OH. Impact of weight reduction on insulin resistance, adhesive molecules and adipokines dysregulation among obese type 2 diabetic patients. *Afr Health Sci.* 2018;18(4):873-883.
81. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics' Evidence Analysis Center. NUTRITION CARE IN BARIATRIC SURGERY. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics' Evidence Analysis Center. <https://www.andeal.org/topic.cfm?menu=5308>. Published 2017. Accessed August 23, 2021.
82. Ard JD, Cox TL, Zunker C, Wingo BC, Jefferson WK, Brakhage C. A study of a culturally enhanced EatRight dietary intervention in a predominately African American workplace. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2010;16(6):E1-8.
83. Ahn S, Lee J, Bartlett-Prescott J, Carson L, Post L, Ward KD. Evaluation of a Behavioral Intervention With Multiple Components Among Low-Income and Uninsured Adults With Obesity and Diabetes. *Am J Health Promot.* 2018;32(2):409-422.
84. Jordan KC, Freeland-Graves JH, Klohe-Lehman DM, et al. A nutrition and physical activity intervention promotes weight loss and enhances diet attitudes in low-income mothers of young children. *Nutr Res.* 2008;28(1):13-20.
85. Anton SD, Manini TM, Milsom VA, et al. Effects of a weight loss plus exercise program on physical function in overweight, older women: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2011;6:141-149.
86. The GRADE Working Group. GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Cochrane Collaboration.

<https://gdt.gradeapro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html>. Published 2013. Updated October 2013. Accessed April 22, 2021.